



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/902,441	07/09/2001	Filip Arnaut	VANM225.001AUS	5510
20995 75	590 04/04/2003			
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			MARCANTONI, PAUL D	
IRVINE, CA	92614		· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	·· -
			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		il.
Office Action Summary	Application No		- 7)`
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit	
	Paul Marca	stri 1755	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	beneath the correspondence address—	
P riod for Reply	· · ·	•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DAT	E
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by define the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set 	a reply within the statutory mir ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS f	nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.	s
Status 1			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	101	•	
☐ This action is FINAL.			
Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1			
Disposition of Claims			
© Claim(s)		/ is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s) /-// + /4-	K	is/are withdrawn from consideration	n.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed	
© Claim(s) 12, 13, 17, 4 18			
□ Claim(s)			
□ Claim(s)			
		requirement.	•
Application Papers			
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on 	• • •		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	journal to by the Examinor	•	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	r.	·	
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies 	· ·	• • •	
☐ received.			
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur □ received in this national stage application from the 		•	
*Certified copies not received:		•	
Attachm nt(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape	r No(s)	Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-	152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO	-948	Other	
Off	fice Acti n Summary		

Application/Control Number: 09/902,441

Art Unit: 1755

Applicant's election with traverse of Group III in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that rejoinder of at least Group II with Group III is proper. This is not found persuasive because rejoinder would only be proper for Groups I and II (assuming applicants elected Group I). Group III does not contain the same scope as Groups I and II because it contains cement or plaster and rejoinder is not proper with Group I or II. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12,13,17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haldas et al., Yamagisi et al., Donnison et al., or Mallon.

All of the above cited references teach a composition comprising calcium tartrate and cement or plaster. The references would not appear to teach the particle size less than about 30 microns. However, control of particle size would appear to be an obvious design choice for one of ordinary skill in the art unless applicants can show criticality. It would appear that all calcium tartrate components of these references are finely divided powders which would fall within applicants' claimed range.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,441

Art Unit: 1755

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is (703)-308-1196. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on (703) 308-3823. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9310 for regular communications and (703)-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755